arXiv:1611.04998v1 [math.GN] 15 Nov 2016

Convergence in topological groups and the Cohen reals

Alexander Y. Shibakov*

November 16, 2016

Abstract

We show that after adding ω_2 Cohen reals to a model of $\diamondsuit$ the resulting extension has no countable sequential groups of intermediate sequential order. On the other hand there exists an uncountable sequential group of sequential order 2 in the same extension.

1 Introduction

The study of convergence properties in the presence of a group structure has shown that the restrictions placed by the algebra on the topology of the group depend greatly on the set theoretic assumptions. In their celebrated paper [6] M. Hrušák and Ramos-García solve a long standing problem due to Malykhin by constructing a model of ZFC in which every countable Fréchet group is metrizable. In [10] it is shown that there exists a model of ZFC in which every sequential group (whether countable or uncountable) of intermediate sequential order is Fréchet (see below for the exact definitions), answering a question of P. Nyikos. On the other hand, CH implies the existence of many pathological sequential groups (see [11]) including nonmetrizable countable Fréchet groups.

The authors of [6] ask how strong the interaction between algebra and convergence really is, in particular, whether the existence of a nonmetrizable Fréchet group topology on one countable group implies that every abelian group has such a topology. This question is still open.

In this paper we show that after adding ω_2 Cohen reals to a model of $\diamondsuit$ the resulting extension has no countable sequential groups of intermediate sequential order, while there is an uncountable such group (see Theorem 1). This shows that both the existence and the size of the group of an intermediate sequential order depend on the set theoretic assumptions. This is in contrast to the situation with the Féchet groups where an uncountable nonmetrizable Fréchet group exists in ZFC.

*Tennessee Tech. University, email: ashibakov@tntech.edu

2 Definitions and terminology

Our general set theoretic terminology is standard and follows [8]. $\mathrm{Fn}(I,2)$ will stand for the set of functions $p: J \to 2$ where $I \supseteq J = \operatorname{supp} p$ is finite, with the natural order. All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff unless stated otherwise.

If X is a topological space and $C \subseteq X$, $x \in X$, we write $C \to x$ to indicate that C converges to x, i.e. $C \subseteq^* U$ for every open $U \ni x$. Recall that a space X is called *sequential* if for every $A \subseteq X$ such that $\overline{A} \neq A$ there is a $C \subseteq A$ such that $C \to x \notin A$.

Let $A \subseteq X$. Define the *sequential closure of* A, $[A]' = \{\, x \in X : C \to x \text{ for some } C \subseteq A \,\}$. Put $[A]_\alpha = \cup\{[A_\beta]' : \beta < \alpha\}$ for $\alpha \leq \omega_1$. Define $\mathrm{so}(X) = \min\{\, \alpha \leq \omega_1 : [A]_\alpha = \overline{A} \text{ for every } A \subseteq X \,\}$. $\mathrm{so}(X)$ is called the *sequential order* of X. Spaces of sequential order ≤ 1 are called Fréchet.

Let X be an arbitrary set and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq 2^X$, so that each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is equipped with a topology τ_K. Then one can introduce a topology on X by making a $U \subseteq X$ open in τ if and only if each $U \cap K$ is open in τ_K. We will say that τ is *determined* by $\mathcal{K}$. While as a subspace of X in this topology, the topology of $K \in \mathcal{K}$ may be different from τ_K, if each (K, τ_K) is compact and Hausdorff and for any $K, F \in \mathcal{K}$ the intersection $K \cap F$ is closed in each K and F and inherits the same topology from either space then the topology of each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ as a subspace of X is exactly τ_K. Note that τ is T_1 if and only if each τ_K is.

If the family $\mathcal{K}$ above is countable, consists of compact spaces and satisfies the condition mentioned at the end of the previous paragraph, X will be called a k_ω *space*.

We use [1] as a general reference on topological groups. In abelian groups 0, $+$, and $-$ stand for the unit, the group operation and the algebraic inverse, respectively. It will be convenient to use $\sum^k B$ for the sum $B + \cdots + B$ of k copies of some subset B of an abelian group G where $\sum^0 B = \{0\}$. A collection $\mathcal{U}$ of subsets of G is called *translation invariant* if for any $g \in G$ and any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ $g + U \in \mathcal{U}$.

The smallest cardinality of a family $\mathcal{U}$ of open neighborhoods of x such that $\cap\mathcal{U} = \{x\}$ is called the *psudocharacter* $\psi(x, X)$ *of* X *at* $x \in X$. When G is a topological group we write simply $\psi(G)$. An uncountable group G will be called *co-countable* if every quotient of G that has countable pseudocharacter is countable.

Recall that a (necessarily abelian) group G is called *boolean* if $a + a = 0$ for every $a \in G$.

The following lemma is likely folklore, see [12] for a proof.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group, and $\mathcal{K}$ be a cover of G. Suppose each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ is given a compact Hausdorff topology such that for any $K', K'' \in \mathcal{K}$ the set $K' \cap K''$ is closed in both K' and K' and the induced topologies are the same. Suppose further that the sums, inverses, and unions of any finite number of K's are contained in some K''s and that the addition and algebraic inverse maps restricted to the corresponding (finite products of) compacts are continuous (for any large enough compact range). Then the topology τ determined by $\mathcal{K}$ on G*

is translation invariant. If $\mathcal{K}$ is countable τ is a Hausdorff group topology.

The definition below can be viewed as an approximation of a group topology on G.

Definition 1. *Let G be an abelian topological group, $\mathcal{U}$ be a family of open subsets of G. Call $\mathcal{U}$ centered if it is translation invariant, closed under finite intersections, $H = \cap\{ U : 0 \in U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is a closed subgroup of G, $U + H = U$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\{ p(U) : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is a base of some Hausdorff topology on G/H where $p : G \to G/H$ is the natural quotient map.*

The next simple lemma provides a basic method of constructing centered families.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a co-countable abelian group. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a countable centered family of open subsets of G and $g \in U \subseteq G$ is open then $\mathcal{U}$ can be extended to a countable centered family $\mathcal{U}'$ of open subsets of G such that for some $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$ $g \in U' \subseteq U$.*

Proof. Let $H = \cap\{ V : 0 \in V \in \mathcal{U} \}$. By shifting U if necessary, assume $g = 0$. Extend the family $\mathcal{U} \cup \{U\}$ if necessary to a family $\mathcal{U}'''$ of open subsets of G to ensure that the new family is countable, closed under finite intersections, and for any $0 \in V \in \mathcal{U}'''$ there are $O, W \in \mathcal{U}'''$ such that $0 \in O \cap W$ and $O - W \subseteq V$. Put $H' = \cap\{ V : 0 \in V \in \mathcal{U}''' \}$ and $\mathcal{U}'' = \{ V + H' : V \in \mathcal{U}''' \}$. Then $H' \subseteq H$ is a closed subgoup of G so $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}''$. The group G/H' is countable so $\mathcal{U}''$ can be extended to a centered family $\mathcal{U}'$ by extending the family $\{ p(V) : V \in \mathcal{U}'' \}$ to a translation invariant base of open subsets of a Hausdorff topology on G/H' closed under finite intersections where $p : G \to G/H'$ is the natural quotient map. To see that $U' \subseteq U$ for some $U' \in \mathcal{U}''$ let $V, W \in \mathcal{U}'''$ be such that $0 \in V \cap W$ and $V - W \subseteq U$. Then $U' = V + H' \subseteq U$. □

Recall that $A, B \subseteq \omega$ are said to be *almost disjoint* if $|A \cap B| < \omega$. An infinite family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ is called a *maximal almost disjoint* or *MAD* family if any two different sets in $\mathcal{A}$ are almost disjoint and every infinite subset of ω that is almost disjoint with every element of $\mathcal{A}$ is in $\mathcal{A}$.

The following result is a corollary of [8], Theorem VIII.2.3.

Lemma 3 ([8])**.** *If $V \vdash CH$ there exists a MAD family $\mathcal{A} \in V$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ remains a MAD family in $V[\mathbb{G}]$ where $\mathbb{G}$ is $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$-generic.*

As shown in [5], such a family also exists in any model satisfying $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{c}$. The MAD family of Lemma 3 is used in the example below to construct a compact sequential space that retains its convergence properties after adding Cohen reals (see Lemma 4 below).

Example 1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ be a maximal almost disjoint family from Lemma 3. Put $\psi^* = \{\omega\} \cup \mathcal{A} \cup \omega$ and define a topology on ψ^* by making each $n \in \omega$ isolated, making $\{ A \setminus n \cup \{A\} : n \in \omega \}$ the base of open neighborhoods at $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and making $\{ \psi^* \setminus ((\cup \mathcal{A}') \cup \mathcal{A}') : \mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is finite$\}$ the base of open neighborhoods at ω. Then ψ^* is a compact sequential space such that $\mathrm{so}((\psi^*)^n) = 2$ for every $n \in \omega$ (see [7]).*

Let ψ^* be the compact space from Example 1. Call a compact K *ψ^*-derived* if K is a continuous image of a closed subspace of $(\psi^*)^n \times C^m$ for some $m, n \in \omega$ where C is a convergent sequence. Note that $\mathrm{so}(K) \leq 2$ for each ψ^*-derived compact K since perfect maps do not raise the sequential order (see [7]).

Lemma 4. *Let V' be an extension of V in which the MAD family from Example 1 remains a MAD family. Let $K \in V$ be an ψ^*-derived compact space with the topology whose base is the topology of K in V. Then K is a sequential compact space in V' such that* $\mathrm{so}(K) \leq 2$.

The following lemma follows from the proof of Lemma 5 of [12]. We show the proof that $U \in \mathcal{U}$ remain open only.

Lemma 5. *let G be a boolean k_ω group whose topology is determined by a countable family $\mathcal{K} = \{ K_n : n \in \omega \}$ of compact subspaces closed under the usual operations. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a centered family of open subsets of G, let $H = \cap\{ U : 0 \in U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ and $p : G \to G/H$ be the natural quotient map. Let $C = \langle c_n : n \in \omega \rangle \subseteq G$, $G' \subseteq G$ be the subgroup generated by C which satisfy the following properties:*

(1) *$C \subseteq^* U$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $0 \in U$*

(2) *for any $c \in G'$ and any $K \in \mathcal{K}$ the intersection $(c + H) \cap K \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $c \in K$*

(3) *$c_n \notin (\cup_{i<n} K_i + C_n) - (\cup_{i<n} K_i + C_n)$ where $C_n = \{ c_i : i < n \}$*

Let $S = C \cup \{0\}$ with the unique topology such that $C \to 0$. Let $\mathcal{K}^+$ be the closure of $\mathcal{K} \cup \{S\}$ under the usual operations. Then the topology determined by $\mathcal{K}^+$ is a Hausdorff group topology on G in which each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is open.

Proof. Since by (1) $p(C) \to 0$ in the topology generated by the sets $p(U)$, $U \in \mathcal{U}$ it is enough to show that the map p is continuous in the topology determined by $\mathcal{K}^+$. Thus one needs to show that H is closed in the topology determined by $\mathcal{K}^+$. Every $K^+ \in \mathcal{K}^+$ is a subspace of some $K + \sum^k S$ where $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $T = \{ k_n + s_n : n \in \omega \} \subseteq (K + \sum^k S) \cap H$ be such that $T \to g$ for some $g \in G$ in the topology determined by $\mathcal{K}^+$ and each $k_n \in K$, $s_n \in \sum^k S$. Then $s_n + H \ni k_n$ and by (2) $s_n \in K$. Thus $T \subseteq K + K$ so $g \in H$. □

The next lemma is a corollary of Lemma 16 and Corollary 2 in [10].

Lemma 6 ([10])**.** *Let G be a countable sequential group such that $1 < \mathrm{so}(G) < \omega_1$. Let $\langle N_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a family of nowhere dense subsets of G. Then there exists a $C \subseteq G$ such that $C \to 0$ and $C \cap N_n$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$.*

3 Countable groups in the Cohen model

The proof of the following lemma is based on the ideas of [2], Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 7. *Let $V \vdash CH$ and $\mathbb{G}$ be $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$-generic over V. Then in $V[\mathbb{G}]$ there are no countable sequential groups G such that $1 < \mathrm{so}(G) < \omega_1$.*

Proof. Let $\dot\tau$ be an $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$-name such that

$$q \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)} \text{“}\dot\tau \text{ is a sequential group topology on } \omega \text{ such that } 1 < \mathrm{so}(\dot\tau) < \omega_1\text{”}$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{G}$ and let M be an ω-closed (i.e. $[M]^\omega \subseteq M$) elementary submodel of $H(2^{\mathfrak{c}^+})$ of size ω_1 such that $q, \dot\tau \in M$. We will treat $\dot\tau$ as an ω_2 long listing of countable names for subsets of ω so that $\dot\tau(\alpha)$ will refer to the name of the element of $\dot\tau$ with index $\alpha < \omega_2$. We will also assume that countable names of all the relevant countable structures (such as the group operation) needed later are in M.

Let $P = \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \cap M, 2)$, and let τ_M consist of $\mathbb{G} \cap M$-interpretations of $\dot\tau(\alpha)$ such that $\alpha \in M$. Then $\tau_M \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ and standard arguments show that τ_M is a base of a group topology on ω (note that since the name of the group operation on ω is countable and in M the operation itself is in $V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ and that ω-closedness of M implies that τ_M is a topology). Let $A \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ be a subset of ω such that A is not closed in τ_M. Let $\dot A$ be a P-name of A. Using ω-closedness of M we may assume that $\dot A \in M$. Then there exists an $m \in \omega$ such that $M \vdash q' \Vdash$ “$\forall \alpha < \omega_2 : \dot\tau(\alpha) \cap \dot A \neq \varnothing$ if $m \in \dot\tau(\alpha)$” for some $q' \leq q$, $q' \in \mathbb{G}$. Then

$$q' \Vdash_P \text{“}\dot S \subseteq \dot A \text{ and } \dot S \to \dot n \in \omega \setminus \dot A\text{”}$$

for some $\dot S, \dot n \in M$. Thus the $\mathbb{G} \cap M$-interpretation S of $\dot S$ is in $V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ and is a convergent sequence in τ_M such that $S \subseteq A$ and $S \to n \in \omega \setminus A$. Therefore τ_M is sequential.

For every $\alpha < \omega_1$ the statement $\mathrm{so}(\tau_M) > \alpha$ is witnessed by some countable set $S \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ with a countable name $\dot S \in M$. Using $q \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ “$1 < \mathrm{so}(\dot\tau) < \omega_1$” and the elementarity of M one can conclude that $1 < \mathrm{so}(\tau_M) < \omega_1$ in $V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$.

Let $U \in V[\mathbb{G}] = V[\mathbb{G} \cap M][\mathbb{G} \setminus M]$ be a subset of ω open in τ. Using standard ccc arguments, pick an $\mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$-name $\dot U \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ for U where $L \subseteq \omega_2 \setminus M$ is countable. Let $\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ list all the elements of $\mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$ and put $U_n = \{\, i \in \omega : p_n \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus M, 2)} \text{“}i \in \dot U\text{”} \,\} \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$.

If $\dot S$ is a countable P-name of a sequence $S \subseteq \omega$ that converges to some $m \in \omega$ in τ_M and such that $S \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ then one may assume that $\dot S \in M$ by ω-closedness. Pick a $q''' \leq q$, $q''' \in \mathbb{G}$ such that $q''' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ “$\dot S \subseteq^* \dot\tau(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in M$ such that $m \in \dot\tau(\alpha)$” and put $q'' = q''' \cap M$. If $S \not\to m$ in τ there exists a $q' \leq q''$ such that $q' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ “$\dot S \not\subseteq^* \dot\tau(\alpha)$ and $m \in \dot\tau(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha < \omega_2$”. By elementarity one can assume that $q' \in M$. Then q''' and q' are compatible, a contradiction.

Hence every sequence that converges in τ_M is also convergent in τ and the (sequential) closure of U_n in τ_M is contained in the (sequential) closure of U_n in τ.

Suppose $r' \in \mathbb{G}$, $r' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ “$0 \in \dot U$”, $r = r' \cap \mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$, and each U_n such that $p_n \leq r$ is nowhere dense in τ_M. Then by Lemma 6 and $1 < \mathrm{so}(\tau_M) < \omega_1$

there exists a sequence $S \in V[\mathbb{G} \cap M]$ such that $S \to 0$ in τ_M and the set $S \cap U_n$ is finite for every $n \in \omega$ such that $p_n \leq r$. By extending r' if necessary we may assume that $r' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$\dot{S} \to 0$ in $\dot{\tau}$" for some name $\dot{S} \in M$ of S.

Since U is open in τ there exist a $k \in \omega$ and a $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus M, 2)$ such that $p \leq r' \cap (\omega_2 \setminus M)$ and $p \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus M,2)}$ "$S \setminus k \subseteq \dot{U}$". Let $p_n = p \cap \mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$. Then $p_n \leq r$ and $S \setminus k \subseteq U_n$. Otherwise there would exist an $m \in S \setminus k$ and a $p' \leq p$ such that $p' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus M,2)}$ "$m \notin \dot{U}$" contrary to the choice of p. The property of p_n thus contradicts the choice of U_n and S.

Thus for every $r' \in \mathbb{G}$ the set $\overline{U_n}$ has a nonempty interior in τ_M for some $n \in \omega$ such that $p_n \leq r' \cap \mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$. So $p_n \in \mathbb{G}$, $\overline{U_n}$ has a nonempty interior in τ_M, and $U_n \subseteq U$ for some $n \in \omega$. Therefore, for every open U in τ its closure $\overline{U}$ contains a $V \in \tau_M$. This implies that τ has a π-base of size ω_1. Since τ is a group topology its weight is at most ω_1. If τ is not Fréchet, the group contains a subspace homeomorphic to $S(\omega)$ (see [9]). But $S(\omega)$ has a character of ω_2 in $V[\mathbb{G}]$, a contradiction. □

4 An uncountable group

We assume below that $V \vdash \diamondsuit$.

Let $B \subseteq 2^{\omega_1}$ be a subspace of 2^{ω_1} homeomorphic to the space ψ^* from Example 1. View 2^{ω_1} as a boolean group and arrange for the only point of B of Cantor-Bendixon rank 2 to be $0 \in 2^{\omega_1}$. Let G be the group algebraically generated by B. Let $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{ \sum^n B : n \in \omega \}$ and τ_0 be the topology determined by $\mathcal{K}_0$. Then τ_0 is a co-countable Hausdorff group topology by Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{G\}$.

Let $F : G \times \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2) \to \omega_1$ be a one to one map. If $\dot{A}$ is a name of a subset of G one can think of $\dot{A}$ as a subset of $G \times \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$, or using $F(\dot{A})$ instead, as a subset of ω_1. Conversely, every subset of ω_1 can be naturally interpreted as a name of a subset of G. Let $\{ C_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \}$ be a $\diamondsuit$-sequence where each C_α is interpreted as an $\mathrm{Fn}(L_\alpha, 2)$-name as above for some countable $L_\alpha \subseteq \omega_1$. We will write $\pi_1(C_\alpha) = \{ g \in G : (g, p) \in F^{-1}(C_\alpha)$ for some $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(L_\alpha, 2) \}$. Let $G = \{ g_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1$ is limit $\}$

Construct decreasing co-countable topologies τ_α, increasing countable families of compact subspaces $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$, and increasing countable families $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ of subsets of G so that

(1) if α is a limit ordinal and C_α has the following properties:

   (a) $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$C_\alpha \cap U \neq \varnothing$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ such that $0 \in U$"

   (b) for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ there is a $\dot{U}_K$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$0 \in \dot{U}_K \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ and $C_\alpha \cap (K \cap \dot{U}_K) = \varnothing$"

   (c) the group G_α generated by $\pi_1(C_\alpha)$ is such that $G_\alpha \cap H_\alpha = \{0\}$ and for every $g \in G_\alpha$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ $(g + H_\alpha) \cap K \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $g \in K$

then $S_{\alpha+1} \to 0$ in $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ and $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$S_{\alpha+1} \cap C_\alpha$ is infinite".

(2) if α is a limit ordinal there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1}$ such that $g_\alpha \notin U$

(3) each $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is open in τ_α where τ_α is a k_ω Hausdorff group topology determined by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$

(4) $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is a centered family and $H_\alpha = \cap\{\, U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha : 0 \in U \,\}$ is a closed subroup of G in τ_α

(5) each $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is an ψ^*-derived compact, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is closed under finite sums, unions, and intersections, and covers G

Suppose α is a limit ordinal. Let $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \cup_{\beta<\alpha}\mathcal{U}_\beta$ and $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \cup_{\beta<\alpha}\mathcal{K}_\beta = \langle K_n : n \in \omega\rangle$. Let $0 \neq g_\alpha \in G$. The topology τ_α determined by $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is a Hausdorff group topology on G in which every $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is open by (3), (5), and Lemma 1. Then (3)–(5) follow from the construction.

Find a $U' \subseteq G$ such that $0 \in U' \not\ni g_\alpha$ and U is open in τ_α. Extend the family $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ if necessary to a countable centered family $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1}$ of open in τ_α subsets of G such that (4) holds and $0 \in U \subseteq U'$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1}$ using Lemma 2. Put $\{\, U : 0 \in U \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1} \,\} = \langle U_n : n \in \omega\rangle$.

Let $\langle p_n : n \in \omega\rangle$ list all the elements of $\mathrm{Fn}(L_\alpha, 2)$ such that each is listed infinitely many times. Pick s_n inductively for each $n \in \omega$ so that

(6) $s_n \notin (\cup_{i<n}K_i + S_n) - (\cup_{i<n}K_i + S_n)$ where $S_n = \{\, c_i : i < n \,\}$

(7) $s_n \in \cap_{i=0}^{n} U_i$

(8) there exists a $p'_n \leq p_n$ such that $p'_n \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$s_n \in C_\alpha$".

The sum in (6) is a subset of some $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ so by (1.b) one can find a $p \leq p_n$ and a U_m such that $p \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$C_\alpha \cap (K \cap U_m) = \varnothing$". Applying (1.a) find a $p'_n \leq p$ and an $s_n \in \cap_{i=0}^{\max\{n,m\}} U_i$ such that $p'_n \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$s_n \in C_\alpha$". By the choice of p $s_n \notin K$. Then (6)–(8) follow.

Put $S_{\alpha+1} = \{\, s_n : n \in \omega \,\}$ and let $\mathcal{K}'_\alpha = \mathcal{K}_\alpha \cup \{S_{\alpha+1} \cup \{0\}\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{K}'_\alpha$ under finite sums, unions and intersections and $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ be the k_ω group topology generated by $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}$. Lemma 5, (7), (1.c), and (6) imply that $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ is Hausdorff and each $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha+1}$ is open in $\tau_{\alpha+1}$. Thus (3)–(5) hold for $\alpha + 1$.

Suppose there exist an $m \in \omega$ and a $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$ such that $p \Vdash$ "$s_n \notin C_\alpha$ for $n \geq m$". We may assume that $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(L_\alpha, 2)$ so $p = p_k$ for some $k \in \omega$ such that $k > m$. Then by (8) there exists a $p'_k \leq p_k$ such that $p'_k \Vdash$ "$s_k \in C_\alpha$", contradiction. Thus $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$S_{\alpha+1} \cap C_\alpha$ is infinite". So (1) holds.

If α is a successor the case of $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for a limit β is discussed above. In other cases, trivial choices suffice.

Put $\mathcal{K} = \cup_{\alpha<\omega_1}\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{U} = \cup_{\alpha<\omega_1}\mathcal{U}_\alpha$. In $V[\mathbb{G}]$ let the topology on each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ be the topology whose base of neighborhoods consists of the subsets open in the natural topology of K in V. Let τ be the topology on G determined by $\mathcal{K}$. Then τ is translation invariant by Lemma 1 and sequential by Lemma 4. Each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is open in τ by (3). The intersection $\cap\{\, U \in \mathcal{U} : 0 \in U \,\} = \{0\}$

by (2) so $\mathcal{U}$ is a translation invariant base of open set of a Hausdorff group topology τ' on G by (3) and [1], Theorem 1.3.12. The topology τ' is coarser than τ so sets $U \cap K$ form a base of open sets for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ where $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

The next lemma shows that τ and τ' coinside in $V[\mathbb{G}]$.

Lemma 8. *There is no* $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$*-name* $\dot{A}$ *of a subset of* G *such that*

(9) $p \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$\dot{A} \cap U \neq \varnothing$ *for every* $U \in \mathcal{U}$ *such that* $0 \in U$"

(10) $p \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$\forall K \in \mathcal{K} \exists V : 0 \in V \subseteq K$ *is relatively open in* K *and* $\dot{A} \cap V = \varnothing$ *if* $0 \in K$"

for some $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$.

Proof. Using standard abuse of terminology and possibly changing $\dot{A}$ if necessary treat $\dot{A}$ as a set of pairs (r, g) where $r \leq p$, $r \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$, and $g \in G$. Let $Z = \operatorname{supp} p$ and let $\dot{A}'$ be the name constructed by replacing every pair $(q, g) \in \dot{A}$ by $(q|_{\omega_2 \setminus Z}, g)$. Suppose there exists a $p' \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)$ and a $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$p' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)} \text{"}\forall V : \dot{A}' \cap V \neq \varnothing \text{ if } 0 \in V \text{ and } V \text{ is relatively open in } K\text{"}$$

Using (10) and the property of τ' stated in the remark before the lemma find a $U_K \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $0 \in U_K$, and a $q' \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$ such that $q'|_{\omega_2 \setminus Z} \leq p'$, $q' \leq p$, and $q' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$\dot{A} \cap (U_K \cap K) = \varnothing$". Now $q'|_{\omega_2 \setminus Z} \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)}$ "$\dot{A}' \cap (U_K \cap K) = \varnothing$". Otherwise there are $q'' \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)$ and $g \in G$ such that $q'' \leq q'|_{\omega_2 \setminus Z}$ and $q'' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)}$ "$g \in \dot{A}' \cap (U_K \cap K)$". It follows from the construction of $\dot{A}'$ that $q'' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$g \in \dot{A} \cap (U_K \cap K)$" contradicting the choice of q'.

Now $q'|_{\omega_2 \setminus Z}$ and p' are compatible, a contradiction. Therefore for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2 \setminus Z, 2)} \text{"}\exists V \subseteq K \text{ relatively open in } K : \dot{A}' \cap V = \varnothing \text{ and } 0 \in V \text{ if } 0 \in K\text{"}$$

Mapping $\omega_2 \setminus Z$ onto ω_2 in a one to one manner, and changing $\dot{A}'$ appropriately, after using standard arguments (10) can be replaced with

(11) for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists a $\dot{U}_K$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2,2)}$ "$0 \in \dot{U}_K \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\dot{A} \cap (\dot{U}_K \cap K) = \varnothing$"

A similar argument shows that p can be omitted in (9). Since $G, \mathcal{U}$, and $\mathcal{K}$ are of size ω_1 standard arguments imply that $\dot{A}$ and $\dot{U}_K$ may be chosen to be $\mathrm{Fn}(L, 2)$-names where $|L| \leq \omega_1$. Thus there exists a one to one map of L onto a subset of ω_1. Using this map to construct an automorphism of $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$ and replacing $\dot{A}$ with the appropriate 'image' one can assume that $\dot{A}$ is an $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$-name and

(12) $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$\dot{A} \cap U \neq \varnothing$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $0 \in U$"

(13) for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists an $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$-name $\dot{U}_K$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$0 \in \dot{U}_K \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\dot{A} \cap (\dot{U}_K \cap K) = \varnothing$"

Let $A_\alpha = F(\dot{A}) \cap \alpha$. Let Ω_A be the set of all $\alpha \in \omega_1$ such that

(14) $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$A_\alpha \cap U \neq \varnothing$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ such that $0 \in U$"

(15) for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ there is a $\dot{U}_K$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$0 \in \dot{U}_K \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ and $A \cap (K \cap \dot{U}_K) = \varnothing$"

(16) the group G_α generated by $\pi_1(A_\alpha)$ is such that $G_\alpha \cap H_\alpha = \{0\}$ and for every $g \in G_\alpha$ and any $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ $(g + H_\alpha) \cap K \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $g \in K$

(17) A_α is an $\mathrm{Fn}(\alpha, 2)$-name

Note that Ω_A is a closed subset of ω_1. To show that Ω_A is unbounded let $\beta \in \omega_1$ and let M be a countable elementary submodel such that $\{\dot{A}, \beta, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{K}, \tau, \tau', \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)\} \subseteq M$ along with the necessary details of the construction above. Put $\alpha = M \cap \omega_1$. If $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ then $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\alpha'}$ for some $\alpha' < \alpha$ so by elementarity, $U \in M$. If $\not\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$A_\alpha \cap U \neq \varnothing$" there exists a $p \in \mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1, 2)$ such that $p \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$A_\alpha \cap U = \varnothing$". Let $p' = p \cap M$. Using (12) and the elementarity of M find a $p'' \in M$ and a $g \in G \cap M$ such that $p'' \leq p'$ and $p'' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$g \in \dot{A} \cap U$". Since $g \in M$ this implies $p'' \Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$g \in A_\alpha \cap U$" which contradicts the compatibility of p'' and p. Thus (14) holds.

Property (15) can be shown by first observing that each $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is in M and using (13).

To show (16) let $g \in G_\alpha$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$ be such that $g \notin K$. Then $K \in M$ and $g \in M$ as a finite sum of elements of $G \cap M$. Let $g \in K'$ for some $K' \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha$. Since τ' is a Hausdorff group topology in which K' is a compact subset there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for some $\delta < \omega_1$ such that $0 \in U$ and $g \notin U$. By elementarity, we can assume that $K', U \in M$ so $\delta < \alpha$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Similarly, there exists a $U' \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $0 \in U'$ and $(g + U') \cap K = \varnothing$ and $U' \in M$ so $U' \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Thus $H_\alpha \subseteq U'$.

The elementarity of M implies (17), as $A_{\alpha'} \in M$ is countable for every $\alpha' < \alpha$.

Since Ω_A is a club there exists an $\alpha \in \omega_1$ such that $A_\alpha = C_\alpha$. Now (14)–(16) imply (1.a)–(1.c) so (1) implies that $\Vdash_{\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_1,2)}$ "$\dot{A} \cap S_{\alpha+1}$ is infinite " contradicting (13). □

A name $\dot{A}$ with the properties of Lemma 8 would exist if τ and τ' were different in $V[\mathbb{G}]$. Thus τ is a sequential group topology on G. The sequential order $\mathrm{so}(G) \geq 2$ since $B \subseteq G$ is a compact subset of G such that $\mathrm{so}(B) = 2$. If $\mathrm{so}(G) > 2$ in $V[\mathbb{G}]$ there exists a set $A \subseteq G$ such that $0 \notin [A]_2$ while $0 \in \overline{A}$. Since $\mathrm{so}(K) \leq 2$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ by the remark after Example 1, $0 \notin \overline{A \cap K}$. An $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$-name $\dot{A}$ of A satisfies (9) and (10) in V.

The argument above thus shows

Lemma 9. *Let $V \vdash CH$ and $\mathbb{G}$ be $\mathrm{Fn}(\omega_2, 2)$-generic. There exists a sequential group $G \in V[\mathbb{G}]$ such that $\mathrm{so}(G) = 2$.*

Combining Lemma 7 an Lemma 9 we obtain the main theorem of this paper which answers the author's question in [10].

Theorem 1. *It is consistent with the axioms of ZFC that there are no countable sequential groups of intermediate sequential order while there is an uncountable such group.*

It is natural to ask if sequential groups of arbitrary sequential order $\alpha < \omega_1$ exist in $V[\mathbb{G}]$. According to [4], the compact spaces in the well known series constructed by A. Bashkirov (see [3]) can be made Cohen-indestructible. The group algebraically generated by such a compact space is co-countable since every quotient of countable pseudocharacter will be covered by countably many scattered metrizable compact subspaces. Thus the construction in this section proceeds virtually unchanged to result in a sequential group of any sequential order $\alpha < \omega_1$.

On the other hand, the group constructed in Lemma 9 has the property that $\overline{A} = \cup\{\, \overline{A \cap K} : K \in \mathcal{K} \,\}$ for any $A \subseteq G$ thus making τ "Fréchet (mod $\mathcal{K}$)" (see [7] for a general discussion of ordinal invariants defined in this manner). So the following question seems natural.

Question 1. *Does there exist a sequential group $G \in V[\mathbb{G}]$ of sequential order 2 such that for any family $\mathcal{K}$ of compact subsets of G there exists a subset $A \subseteq G$ such that $\overline{A} \neq \cup\{\, \overline{A \cap K} : K \in \mathcal{K} \,\}$?*

Additionally, it would be interesting to know if the intermediate sequential order "reflects" to a group of size ω_1 in $V[\mathbb{G}]$.

Question 2. *Let $G \in V[\mathbb{G}]$ be a sequential group of intermediate sequential order. Does there exists a sequential group $G' \subseteq G$ of intermediate sequential order of size ω_1?*

References

[1] A. Arhangelskii, M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis Press/World Scientific 2008

[2] D. Barman and A. Dow, *Proper forcing axiom and selective separability*, Topology Appl. **159** (2012), pp. 806–813

[3] A.I. Bashkirov, *The classification of quotient maps and sequential bicompacta*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974) pp. 1104–1109

[4] A. Dow, personal communication

[5] M. Hrušák, *MAD families and the rationals*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **42**, no. 2 (2001) pp. 343–350

[6] M. Hrušák and U.A. Ramos-García, *Malykhin's problem*,Advances in Math. **262**, (2014), pp. 193–212

[7] V. Kannan, *Ordinal Invariants in Topology*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Society **32** (1981) no. 245

[8] K. Kunen, *Set theory: An Introduction to Independence Proofs*, Vol. **102** of Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980

[9] P. J. Nyikos, *Metrizability and the Fréchet-Urysohn property in topological groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), pp. 793–801

[10] A. Shibakov, *No interesting sequential groups*, preprint, `arXiv:1604.08868 [math.GN]`

[11] A. Shibakov, *Sequential topological groups of any sequential order under CH*, Fund. Math. **155** (1998) no. 1, pp. 79–89

[12] A. Shibakov, *On large sequential groups*, preprint, `arXiv:1604.08874 [math.GN]`